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## Executive Summary

Internal Quality Assurance (IQA) in Higher Educational Institutions (HEIs) is pertinent in order to ensure that a reliable system is in place to monitor and manage all aspects of teaching, learning, assessment and research at higher education level. Higher education aims to fulfil multiple purposes on top of equipping the students with up-to-date knowledge and skills, such as preparing them for active citizenship, supporting their personal development and creating a broad base and stimulating research and innovation.

The aim of IQAinAR is enhancement and development of the internal quality assurance (IQ) of HEIs from Azerbaijan and Russia with international (EU) quality standards as benchmark while the same time strengthening the HEIs towards local, regional and national policies and strategies implementation. This project will focus on two main areas of HE: quality assurance of teaching (teaching, learning and assessment) and quality assurance of teacher (educator, practitioner researcher), as a teacher is the key player to carry out the quality of teaching.

The general aim of Work Package 1: Peer Learning Stage \& Design of the IQA Policy and Strategy is to collect good practices in Europe (EU partners) of quality assurance at institutional (university) level and share practices in Europe (EU partners) of quality assurance in HE at national levels. This report presents the results of the survey, based on the IQA indicators, on the quality assurance of the HEIs. The IQA indicators identified include, among others, teaching-learning quality/learning experience, academic staff professional competence, quality of assessments/practices, and institutional leadership commitment towards quality/student learning. The questionnaire questions serve to find out the perception of students, alumni, teachers and employers on the quality assurance of the respective HEIs.

The survey was carried out by circulating Microsoft online questionnaires to students, alumni, teachers and employers using random sampling method. Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) is used to compute the Cronbach's Alpha, Means, Standard Deviations and Mann-Whitney U. The findings showed that majority of students, alumni and teachers have a positive perception of the quality of education and quality assurance of their HEIs. Furthermore, based on the employers' perception, 'good quality' in higher education does not necessarily mean nice buildings and infrastructure, but rather, good facilities, knowledgeable and up-to-date staff, good teaching methodologies, externally accredited programmes and quality feedback to students are more pertinent and essential.

## Design \& Methodology

In this study, questionnaires were distributed using online forms to various numbers of students, alumni, teachers and employers of the HEIs, using random sampling method. Except for a few anomalies, the average response rate was 100\% (Table 3, Appendix). The questionnaire comprises of 2 sections; Demographic background and IQA-related questions. The type of questions ranges from multiple choice, 5 -point Likert scale, yes or no, ranking and open-ended questions.

This study used the IBM Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS Statistics 27) to analyse the results using Mean, Standard Deviation and Mann-U Whitney Test. Except for employer's questionnaire, IQA was assessed based on 15 indicators shown below:

1. Satisfaction with internship programme
2. Impact on knowledge and skills
3. Teaching \& learning quality/learning experience
4. Institutional leadership commitment towards quality/student learning
5. Academic staff commitment towards quality of teaching
6. Academic staff professional competence/research background
7. Level of cognitive stimulation in course delivery
8. Quality of learning activities experience
9. Quality of learning process
10. Quality of assessments/practices
11. Quality of assessment methods
12. Quality of effective feedback mechanisms
13. Quality of education at HEI
14. University's reputation
15. Involvement in QA

## Reliability test

Internal consistency and correlation of the data were analysed using Cronbach's Alpha. The questions are considered reliable if the Cronbach's Alpha for all items are above the minimum value of 0.7 (Taber, 2017; Griethuijsen, 2015). Using WUAS's results as a basis, the Cronbach's Alpha of each dimension of IQA indicators and stakeholders' satisfaction are all above 0.7 (shown in Table 1 below), which indicates a very strong level of construct validity and internal consistency of the questionnaire and that they are fit for the purpose set in the research objectives (Taber, 2017).

Table 1: Cronbach's Alpha

| Questionnaire | Cronbach's <br> $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Students | .972 |
| Alumni | .977 |
| Teachers | .949 |
| Employers | .862 |

## Analysis of Satisfaction and IQA Indicators

In analysing the perception and satisfaction of the respondents using the IQA indicators, the mean and standard deviation are computed. Since majority of the questions are based on the five-point Likert scale, which is an interval scale, the mean is considered very significant. (Pimentel, 2010). In analysing the means of the IQA indicators, the following intervals shown in Table 2 will be referred to (Pimentel, 2010). For example, if the overall mean of the indicator 'Quality of Education at HEI' of XX university is 2.50, this falls under the $2^{\text {nd }}$ category (Disagree), i.e. majority of the respondents disagree with or has a negative perception of the quality of education at XX university.

Table 2: 5-point Likert Scale Interval

| No. | Option | Interval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Strongly Disagree (1) | $1-1.8 \mathrm{o}$ |
| 2 | Disagree (2) | $1.81-2.60$ |
| 3 | Neutral (3) | $2.61-3.40$ |
| 4 | Agree (4) | $3.41-4.20$ |
| 5 | Strongly Agree (5) | $4.21-5.00$ |

Source: (Pimentel, 2010)

## Analysis of Results

## STAKEHOLDER 1: STUDENTS

## Students' Demographic

Table 4 in the Appendix shows the demographic summary of student respondents concentrating on Gender, Form of education (full-time or part-time), Study programme and Age. Majority are female bachelor students studying full-time and falling under the age group of 25 years or younger.

## Students' Perception on Quality of Education and Quality Assurance

To interpret the results, the means and standard deviations of each of the questions related to the IQA indicators were calculated. Based on the results, two charts were drawn up (Figure 1 and Figure 2) to show the perception of students on the quality of education and the overall quality assurance respectively. The chart for Quality of Education was drawn up so as to have an overview of students perception on the quality of education at each of the HEIs.

Based on the results, it was found that all institutions except RAE, scored a mean of 3.41 and above for both Quality of Education and the overall Quality Assurance. This indicates that students have a positive perception of the Quality of Education and the overall Quality Assurance of their HEIs (i.e. they are generally satisfied with the quality of education and the quality assurance). As for RAE, the means of 3.38 and 3.39 fall under the category of 'Neutral' which means the student respondents of RAE neither have a positive nor a negative perception of the quality of education and the quality assurance of RAE, respectively.

Comparing the means of the Quality of Education and Quality Assurance for all HEIs, only RAE, ASPU, BelSU and UCAM have relatively equal means. However, for WUAS and FinU, the means for Quality of Education are lower than the means for Quality Assurance. It can be deduced that for these two institutions, although students are satisfied with the quality of education, they are more satisfied with other indicators, thus resulting in higher means for Quality Assurance.

On the contrary, for TSU and NSU, students have a higher perception of the quality of education but lower perception of other indicators resulting in a lower perception for the Quality Assurance. More details can be found in Table 5 in the Appendix.


Figure 1: Perception of Students on HEIs' Quality Assurance


Figure 2: Perception of Students on HEIs Quality Assurance


## Mann-Whitney U Test

To see if there is any difference between the opinions of Bachelor and Master/Specialist's students of their satisfaction with the quality of education provided at the university, the Mann-Whitney U test was carried out. Based on the test, the Asymp. Sig (2-tailed) value was found to be higher than 0.05 for WUAS, UCAM, RAE, BelSU and ASPU (Table 6). This indicates that there is no statistically significant difference between the bachelor and Master/Specialist's group, of their satisfaction with the quality of education provided at the respective HEIs.

## STAKEHOLDER 2: ALUMNI

## Alumni Demographic

Table 7 in Appendix shows the demographic summary of alumnus respondents. Majority are female bachelor full-time students and falling under the age group of 25 years or younger.

## Alumni's Perception on Quality of Education and Quality Assurance

The two charts below (Figure 3 and Figure 4) show the perception of students on the quality of education and the overall quality assurance respectively.

Based on the results, it was found that the alumni of RAE \& WUAS have neutral perception of both the Quality of Education and Quality Assurance. Whereas for ASPU, BelSU, FinU and UCAM, their perceptions are more positive. Except for ASPU and UCAM, the standard deviations are more than 0.8 for Quality of Education, indicating a wide spread of perceptions among the alumni. For WUAS, the wide spread of perceptions (SD > 1) among alumni are recorded for both Quality of Education and Quality Assurance.

It is also noted that the means of Quality of Education for RAE and WUAS are much lower compared to the means of overall Quality Assurance. From this, it can be deduced that other indicators have a positive impact on the overall quality assurance, resulting in higher means. The opposite is true for the rest of the HEIs whereby a lower perception in the other indicators results in lower means for Quality Assurance as compared to the means for Quality of Education. More details can be found in Table 8 in the Appendix.


Figure 3: Perception of Alumni on Quality of Education


Figure 4: Perception of Alumni on HEIs Quality Assurance


## Mann-Whitney U Test

To see if there is any difference between the opinions of Bachelor and Master/Specialist's alumni of their satisfaction with the quality of education provided at the HEIs, the MannWhitney U test was carried out. Based on the test, the Asymp. Sig (2-tailed) value was found to be higher than 0.05 for WUAS, UCAM, RAE, BelSU and ASPU (Table 9, Appendix). This indicates that there is no statistically significant difference between the Bachelor's and Master/Specialist's group, of their satisfaction with the quality of education provided at the HEIs.

## STAKEHOLDER 3: TEACHERS

## Teachers' Demographic

Table 10 in the Appendix shows the demographic summary of teacher respondents which centres around Gender, Number of years of Teaching Experience, Academic Degree and Position at HEIs. Majority are female respondents with 6 years or more teaching experiences, except for WUAS, of which majority have less than 4 years' of experience. Majority of the respondents have $\mathrm{PhD} / \mathrm{DBA}$ qualifications except for NSU, which has none and TSU has $\mathbf{1 0 0} \% \mathrm{PhD} / \mathrm{DBA}$ holders.

## Teachers' Perception on Quality of Education and Quality Assurance

The two charts below (Figure 5 and Figure 6) show the perception of teachers on the quality of education and the overall quality assurance respectively.

Based on the results, it was found that the teachers of all the HEIs have positive perceptions of both the quality of education and the overall quality assurance. While ASPU, FinU, NSU, RAE and UCAM show higher perceptions for overall quality assurance compared to quality of education, BelSU, TSU and WUAS show the opposite. This indicates that for the latter group of HEIs, other indicators of IQA have impacted negatively on the overall quality assurance. More details can be found in Table 11 in the Appendix.

Figure 5: Perception of Teachers on Quality of Education



Figure 6: Perception of Teachers on HEIs Quality Assurance


## STAKEHOLDER 4: EMPLOYERS

## Scope of Organization

The five employers who participated in this survey are from various industries, namely (i) Medicine, healthcare, (ii) IT Technology, (iii) Advertising/Consulting agencies, research companies, (iv) Finance and Insurance, Banking and (v) Recreation, sports, entertainment.

## Employers' Perception on Quality of Education and Quality Assurance

The results for these two IQA indicators were not able to be presented due to some collation and technical issues in data. However, the following analyses were made:

## Important Competencies in Hiring

According to the employers, the competencies which are decisive in hiring are mainly soft skills (Table 12, Appendix). For the employers, the level of theoretical training is not a necessity but they do agree that computer literacy, administrative knowledge, skills and abilities, conscientiousness, multi-tasking and complex problem solving are still important.

## Subjects/Modules that Need to be Emphasised in Teaching

Majority of employers agree that computer and information technology, economic analysis, office-work, practical psychology, organization of analytical work, public relations, professional management and project management should be emphasized in the teaching of graduates (Table 13, Appendix). They are neutral with the teaching of external topics, which are not really closely related to business administration such as legal literacy, social policy, human resources, public service, state-building, political governance, accounting and scientific activities. It is interesting to note that human resources and accounting (both are $60 \%$ neutral, $40 \%$ agree) are not included in the list of subjects that need to be emphasized in teaching, as these two subjects are actually closely related to business administration.

## The Meaning of 'Good Quality in Higher Education

In evaluating 'good quality' in higher education, majority of employers disagree that nice buildings and infrastructure matter and they are neutral with regards to the cost of the fees charged or graduates with first class honours or upper second degree (Table 14, Appendix). They do agree that good facilities, enthusiastic staff, national and international rankings, literate and numerate graduates, externally accredited programmes, quality feedback to students, support facilities and extra activities do matter. They also strongly agree that motivated graduates, knowledgeable and up-to-date staff, inquisitive graduates, teaching methodologies and good links to industry are determinants of good quality in higher education.

## Analysis of Open-response Questions:

Based on an analysis of the ranking of professional competencies (Table 15, Appendix), it was found that the top three technical/practical competencies considered as important by the employers are level of practical knowledge and skills, level of theoretical and professional knowledge and level of written and oral communication. The skill which is considered as least important is skills in special software products. On the other hand, the top three important soft skills are ability to develop new ideas, ability to work in teams and readiness and ability for further learning and the least soft skill required is ability to act strictly according to the rules and regulations of the team.

## Answers to Other Open-Ended Responses

The following are other open-ended questions posed to Employers.

- What does 'good quality' in higher education mean to you?
- What do you think are the important determinants of service quality in higher education?
- How can service quality in education be improved?
- What cost is incurred by employers of not providing quality education to students?
- What role leadership can play in imparting quality education?

A summary of the answers are shown below. More details can be found in Table 16 in the Appendix.

- Employers consider 'good quality' in education if the modules taught are relevant and practical in the working world
- High grade lecturers are needed to empower the learning process
- Graduates should be groomed to have a broad knowledge but also the desire to continue learning and seek more knowledge as the learning process is never ending
- One of the important determinants of service quality in higher education is effective student support, to prepare them for the outside world
- Service quality in education can be improved by making sure that the learning programme is creative and practical
- Costs incurred by employers if quality education to students is not provided are slow business growth due to lack of competence or not choosing the right professional who can fit in the organization
- Leadership should lead by example and help students explore where they will thrive by giving inspiration.


## Appendix

Table 3: Response Rate

| Stakeholder <br> (Targeted <br> Sample Size) | WUAS | UCAM | FinU | RAE | TSU | BelSU | NSU | ASPU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students (40) | $>100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $>100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $>100 \%$ |
| Alumni (30-40) | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Teachers (15) | $>100 \%$ | $>100 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $>100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $>100 \%$ |
| Employers (15) | $33 \%$ | $>100 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $>100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $>100 \%$ |

Table 4: Students' Demographic Summary

| Demographic | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=79$ ) | UCAM ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | $\underset{(\mathrm{n}=30)}{\mathrm{FinU}}$ | RAE ( $\mathbf{n = 5 5}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TSU} \\ (\mathrm{n}=40) \end{gathered}$ | BelSU ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | NSU ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | ASPU ( $\mathrm{n}=63$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Gender: \\ F - Female \\ M - Male \\ NA - prefers not to mention``` | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}: 42(53 \%) \\ & \mathrm{M}: 37(47 \%) \\ & \mathrm{NA}: \mathrm{o} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 19 \text { (48\%) } \\ & \text { M: } 19(48 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 2(4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 20(67 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 10(33 \%) \\ & \text { NA: o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 31(56 \%) \\ & \text { M:24 ( } 44 \%) \\ & \text { NA:o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 32(80 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 7(18 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 36(90 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 4(10 \%) \\ & \text { NA: o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 32(80 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 7(18 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 56(89 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 6(9 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Form of education: <br> FT - Full-Time <br> PT - Part-Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT : } 75(95 \%) \\ & \text { PT }: 4(5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT: } 28 \text { (70\%) } \\ & \text { PT } 12 \text { (30\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT: } 28 \\ & (93 \%) \\ & \text { PT: }(7 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT: } 45 \text { (82\%) } \\ & \text { PT10 (18\%) } \end{aligned}$ | FT: 40 (100\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT: } 39 \text { (98\%) } \\ & \text { PT: } 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT: } 40 \text { ( } 100 \% \text { ) } \\ & \text { PT: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT: } 24 \text { (38\%) } \\ & \text { PT: } 39 \text { (62\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Programme (Diploma <br> Obtained): <br> B - Bachelor <br> M - Master <br> S - Specialist <br> D - Doctorate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B : } 40(51 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 39(49 \%) \\ & \text { S: o } \\ & \text { D: o } \end{aligned}$ | B: 23 (58\%) <br> M: 8 (20\%) <br> S: 1 (2\%) <br> D: 4 (10\%) <br> Missing: 4 (10\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B: } 29(97 \%) \\ & \text { M: o } \\ & \text { S: o } \\ & \text { D: } 1(3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B: } 40(73 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 12(22 \%) \\ & \text { S: } 1(1 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 2(4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B: } 40(100 \%) \\ & \text { M: o } \\ & \text { S: o } \\ & \text { D: o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B: } 34(85 \%) \\ & \text { M: o } \\ & \text { S: } 6(15 \%) \\ & \text { D: o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B: } 40(100 \%) \\ & \text { M: o } \\ & \text { S: o } \\ & \text { D: o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B: } 47(75 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 13(21 \%) \\ & \text { S: } 3(4 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: $\begin{aligned} & 1-25 \text { years or younger } \\ & 2-26-30 \\ & 3 \text { - } 31-35 \\ & 4 \text { - more than } 35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 26(33 \%) \\ & 2: 24(30 \%) \\ & 3: 20(25 \%) \\ & 4: 9(11 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 14(35 \%) \\ & \text { 2: } 10(25 \%) \\ & \text { 3: } 10(25 \%) \\ & 4: 6(15 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 29(27 \%) \\ & 2: 1(3 \%) \\ & 3: 0 \\ & 4: 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 50(91 \%) \\ & 2: 3(6 \%) \\ & 3: 0 \\ & 4: 2(3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 40(100 \%) \\ & \text { 2: } 0 \\ & 3: 0 \\ & 4: 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 40(100 \%) \\ & \text { 2: } 0 \\ & \text { 3: } 0 \\ & \text { 4: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 40(100 \%) \\ & \text { 2: } 0 \\ & \text { 3: } 0 \\ & \text { 4: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 58(93 \%) \\ & 2: 3(5 \%) \\ & 3: 1(1 \%) \\ & 4: 1(1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

Table 5: IQA Indicators - Students

|  | IQA Indica tors | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 3.25 | 3.46 | 3.68 | 3.86 | 3.17 | 3.31 | 3.24 | 3.70 | 3.53 | 3.06 | 2.96 | 3.12 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 3.70 | 3.63 |
|  | SD | 1.03 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.44 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 0.62 | 0.67 | 0.54 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 0.93 | . 758 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Majori } \\ \text { ty } \end{gathered}$ | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Agree | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Neutr <br> al | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Neutr <br> al | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree |
|  | Mean | Np | 4.12 | 4.15 | 4.10 | 4.21 | 4.19 | 4.17 | 4.15 | 4.10 | 4.18 | 4.03 | 4.26 | 4.23 | 4.38 | 4.23 | 4.16 |
|  | SD | Np | . 480 | . 525 | . 517 | . 587 | . 527 | . 504 | . 709 | . 641 | . 594 | . 638 | . 716 | . 667 | . 590 | . 536 | . 360 |
|  | Majori ty | Np | Agree | Agree | Agree | Stron gly agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Stron gly agree | Stron gly agree | Stron gly agree | Stron gly agree | Agree |
| $\underset{i=}{\underset{\sim}{i}}$ | Mean | 3.73 | 3.50 | 3.60 | 3.39 | 3.53 | 3.69 | 3.68 | 3.64 | 3.56 | 3.68 | 3.43 | 3.54 | 3.46 | 3.89 | 3.18 | 3.56 |
|  | SD | . 785 | . 777 | . 662 | . 739 | . 706 | . 850 | . 723 | . 780 | . 801 | . 736 | . 836 | . 781 | . 881 | . 916 | . 819 | . 574 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Majori } \\ \text { ty } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Agree | Agree | Agree | Neutr al | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Agree |
| $\stackrel{\substack{i n\\}}{\substack{n \\ \hline}}$ | Mean | 3.36 | 3.16 | 3.44 | 3.29 | 3.39 | 3.55 | 3.60 | 3.30 | 3.27 | 3.60 | 3.31 | 3.54 | 3.38 | 3.50 | 3.06 | 3.39 |
|  | SD | 1.128 | . 925 | . 732 | . 726 | . 966 | . 843 | . 772 | 1.021 | 1.012 | . 807 | . 920 | . 912 | 1.009 | 1.005 | .960 | . 629 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Majori } \\ \text { ty } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Neutr <br> al | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Neutral |
| $\stackrel{\partial}{o}$ | Mean | Np | 3.29 | 3.61 | 3.32 | 3.66 | 4.16 | 3.01 | 3.58 | 3.42 | 2.86 | 3.71 | 3.71 | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.73 | 3.48 |
|  | SD | Np | . 646 | . 716 | . 626 | . 936 | . 603 | . 797 | 1.083 | 1.083 | . 961 | . 854 | . 823 | . 958 | 1.11 | . 987 | . 542 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Majori } \\ \text { ty } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Np | Neutr al | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Agree | Neutr al | Agree | Agree | Neutr <br> al | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree |
|  | Mean | Np | 4.10 | 3.98 | 3.86 | 4.11 | 4.34 | 3.98 | 4.00 | 3.98 | 4.01 | 3.95 | 4.03 | 4.03 | 3.75 | 3.70 | 3.99 |
|  | SD | Np | . 485 | . 533 | . 573 | . 594 | . 536 | . 542 | . 599 | . 620 | . 583 | . 541 | 0530 | .660 | . 840 | . 758 | . 421 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Majori } \\ \text { ty } \end{gathered}$ | Np | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Stron gly Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree |


|  | Mean | Np | 3.29 | 3.61 | 3.32 | 3.66 | 4.6 | 3.01 | 3.58 | 3.42 | 2.86 | 3.71 | 3.71 | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.73 | 3.48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD | Np | . 646 | 716 | . 626 | . 936 | . 603 | . 797 | 1.03 | 1.08 | .960 | . 854 | . 823 | . 958 | 1.11 | . 987 | 542 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Majori } \\ \text { ty } \end{gathered}$ | Np | $\begin{gathered} \text { Neutr } \\ \text { al } \end{gathered}$ | Agree | $\begin{gathered} \text { Neutr } \\ \text { al } \end{gathered}$ | Agree | Agree | $\begin{gathered} \text { Neutr } \\ \text { al } \end{gathered}$ | Agree | Agree | $\begin{gathered} \text { Neutr } \\ \text { al } \end{gathered}$ | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree | Agree |
| 会會 | Mean | 3.68 | 3.44 | 3.44 | 3.36 | 3.48 | 3.47 | 3.21 | 3.31 | 3.79 | 3.26 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.42 | 3.31 | 3.30 | 3.41 |
|  | SD | . 947 | . 996 | 1.012 | . 890 | . 930 | 1.034 | . 938 | 1.052 | . 880 | 1.001 | . 950 | 1.095 | . 949 | 1.104 | . 992 | 816 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Majori } \\ \text { ty } \end{gathered}$ | Agree | Agree | Agree | Neutr al | Agree | Agree | Neutr al | Neutr al | Agree | Neutr al | Neutr al | Neutr al | Agree | Neutr al | Neutr al | Agree |

Table 6: Mann-Whitney U test - Comparing the opinions of Bachelor's and Master's students

| Institution | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { WUAS } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UCAM } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{o}) \end{aligned}$ | FinU ( $\mathbf{n}=30$ ) | RAE ( $\mathrm{n}=55$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TSU } \\ (\mathrm{n}=40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BelSU } \\ & (n=40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NSU} \\ (\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{o}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASPU } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=63) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mann-Whitney $\mathrm{U}$ | 653.500 | 72.000 | Mann- <br> Whitney U test cannot be carried out because all are Bachelor's (except 1 PhD ) | 212.000 | Mann- <br> Whitney U test cannot be carried out because all are Bachelor's | 57.000 | Mann- <br> Whitney U test cannot be carried out because all are Bachelor's | 214.000 |
| Wilcoxon W | 1394.500 | 100.000 |  | 290.000 |  | 652.000 |  | 994.000 |
| Z | -1.165 | -. 452 |  | -. 644 |  | -1.917 |  | -. 476 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2tailed) | . 244 | . 651 |  | . 519 |  | . 055 |  | . 634 |
| Exact Sig. [2*(1tailed Sig.)] | - | $.701^{\text {a }}$ |  | - |  | $.92^{\text {b }}$ |  | - |

a. Not corrected for ties, b. Not corrected for ties

Table 7: Alumni Demographic Summary

| Demographic | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | UCAM ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | FinU ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | RAE ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ ) | BelSU ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | ASPU (n=33) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender: $F$-female $M$ - male NA - prefers not to mention | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F:7 } 7(70 \%) \\ & \text { M }: 3(30 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F : } 14(44 \%) \\ & \text { M : } 16(50 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 2(6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}: 18(45 \%) \\ & \mathrm{M}: 18(45 \%) \\ & \mathrm{NA}: 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 21(64 \%) \\ & \text { M : } 11(33 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 1(3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F:37(93\%) } \\ & \text { M:3(7\%) } \\ & \text { NA:o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F : } 27(82 \%) \\ & \text { M : } 6(18 \%) \\ & \text { NA: o } \end{aligned}$ |
| Form of education: <br> FT - Full-Time <br> PT - Part-Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT : } 10(100 \%) \\ & \text { PT : } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FT : } 24(75 \%) \\ & \text { PT : } 6 \text { (19\%) } \\ & \text { Missing: } 2 \text { (6\%) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT : } 37(93 \%) \\ & \text { PT :3 } 7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT : } 25 \text { (76\%) } \\ & \text { PT : } 8 \text { (24\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT : } 37(93 \%) \\ & \text { PT :3 } 7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT : } 18 \text { (55\%) } \\ & \text { PT : } 15 \text { (45\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Programme (Diploma Obtained): <br> B - Bachelor <br> M - Master <br> S - Specialist <br> D - Doctorate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B : } 5(50 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 5(50 \%) \\ & \text { S: } 0 \\ & \text { D: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B : } 27(84 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 4(13 \%) \\ & \text { S: } 1(3 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B : } 20(50 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 5(13 \%) \\ & \text { S: } 14(35 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B : } 20(61 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 10(30 \%) \\ & \text { S: } 03(9 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B : } 22(55 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 3(7 \%) \\ & \text { S: } 15(38 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B : } 26(79 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 7(21 \%) \\ & \text { S: o } \\ & \text { D: o } \end{aligned}$ |
| Age: <br> 1-25 years or younger $2-26-30$ $3-31-35$ <br> 4 - more than 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 2(20 \%) \\ & 2: 3(30 \%) \\ & 3: 3(30 \%) \\ & 4: 2(20 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 7(22 \%) \\ & 2: 12(38 \%) \\ & 3: 10(31 \%) \\ & 4: 3 \text { (9\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 13(33 \%) \\ & 2: 12(30 \%) \\ & 3: 6(15 \%) \\ & 4: 9(22 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 24(73 \%) \\ & 2: 5(15 \%) \\ & 3: 1(3 \%) \\ & 4: 3(9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 38(96 \%) \\ & 2: 0 \\ & 3: 1(2 \%) \\ & 4: 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 25(76 \%) \\ & 2: 4(12 \%) \\ & 3: 2(6 \%) \\ & 4: 2(6 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

Table 8: IQA Indicators - Alumni

| IQA Indicator of Perceived | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | UCAM ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | FinU ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{4 0}$ ) | RAE ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ ) | BelSU ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{4 0}$ ) | ASPU ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impact of HEI on graduate knowledge \& skills | Mean: 3.00 <br> SD: 1.197 <br> Majority: Neutral | Mean: 4.23 <br> SD: . 583 <br> Majority: Strongly agree | Mean: 4.07 <br> SD: . 742 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.41 <br> SD: . 799 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.95 <br> SD: . 689 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.84 <br> SD: . 552 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Teaching \& Learning Quality/Learning Experience | Mean: 3.35 <br> SD: 1.008 <br> Majority: Neutral | Mean: 4.26 <br> SD: . 494 <br> Majority: Strongly agree | Mean: 4.0o <br> SD: . 704 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.29 SD: . 801 Majority: Neutral | Mean: 3.88 <br> SD: .78o <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.86 <br> SD: . 615 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Institutional Leadership Commitment towards Quality/Student Learning | Mean: 3.44 <br> SD: 1.243 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.18 <br> SD: . 502 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.98 <br> SD: . 645 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.09 <br> SD: . 760 <br> Majority: Neutral | Mean: 3.95 <br> SD: . 706 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.77 <br> SD: . 621 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Academic Staff Commitment towards Quality of Teaching | Mean: 3.65 <br> SD: 1.179 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.16 <br> SD: . 615 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.07 <br> SD:3.99 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.56 <br> SD: . 922 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.01 <br> SD: . 843 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.95 <br> SD: . 442 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Academic Staff Professional Competence / Research Background | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Mean: } 3.40 \\ \text { SD: } 1.149 \\ \text { Majority: Neutral } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mean: 4.13 <br> SD: . 508 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.98 <br> SD: . 798 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.83 <br> SD: . 858 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.15 <br> SD: . 810 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: 357 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Quality of Assessment Practices | Mean: 3.60 <br> SD: 1.049 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.28 <br> SD: .581 <br> Majority: Strongly agree | Mean: 4.08 <br> SD: . 703 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.41 <br> SD: . 946 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 776 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.77 <br> SD: . 518 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Effective Feedback Mechanisms | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Mean: } 3.35 \\ \text { SD: } 1.270 \\ \text { Majority: Neutral } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mean: 4.03 <br> SD: . 683 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.18 <br> SD: . 765 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.53 <br> SD: . 772 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.09 <br> SD: . 715 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.96 <br> SD: 358 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Quality of Education at the HEI | Mean: 3.30 <br> SD: 1.252 <br> Majority: Neutral | Mean: 4.32 <br> SD: . 653 <br> Majority: Strongly agree | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: . 852 <br> Majority: Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.28 \\ & \text { SD: . } 851 \\ & \text { Majority: Neutral } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.92 <br> SD: 859 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 408 <br> Majority: Agree |
| University's Reputation | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Mean: } 3.20 \\ \text { SD: } 1.476 \\ \text { Majority: Neutral } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mean: 4.03 <br> SD: . 706 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.67 <br> SD: . 838 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.34 <br> SD: 1.004 <br> Majority: Neutral | Mean: 3.82 <br> SD: 1.01 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.93 <br> SD: . 651 <br> Majority: Agree |


| Involvement in QA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.50 \\ & \text { SD: } 1.179 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.88 \\ & \text { SD: . } 833 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.87 \\ & \text { SD: } 833 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.13 SD: . 942 Majority: Neutral | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.83 \\ & \text { SD: } 844 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.96 \\ & \text { SD: } 445 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Average Mean of the above | Mean:3.38 <br> SD: 1.073 <br> Majority: Neutral | Mean: 4.18 SD: . 418 Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.01 SD: . 668 Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.36 <br> SD: . 579 <br> Majority: Neutral | Mean: 3.96 SD: . 692 Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.85 SD: .404 Majority: Agree |

Table 9: Mann-Whitney U test - Comparing the opinions of Bachelor's and Master's alumni

| Institution | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | UCAM ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | FinU ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | RAE ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ ) | BelSU ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | ASPU ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mann-Whitney U | 8.000 | 43.000 | 39.000 | 80.000 | 24.500 | 81.000 |
| Wilcoxon W | 23.000 | 394.000 | 249.000 | 290.000 | 30.500 | 109.000 |
| Z | -1.006 | -.610 | -. 862 | -. 962 | -. 755 | -. 492 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | . 314 | . 542 | . 389 | . 336 | . 450 | . 623 |
| Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed Sig.)] | .421 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . $617^{\text {a }}$ | .488 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $.397^{\text {a }}$ | . $497{ }^{\text {a }}$ | .682 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

a. Not corrected for ties

## Table 10: Teachers' Demographic Summary

| Demographic | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | UCAM ( $\mathrm{N}=18$ ) | FinU ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | RAE ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ) | TSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | BelSU ( $\mathrm{N}=15$ ) | NSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | ASPU ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Gender: F - Female M - Male NA - prefers not to mention``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 4 \text { (17\%) } \\ & \text { M: } 17(74 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 2(9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 11(61 \%) \\ & \text { M:7 }(39 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 9(69 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 4(31 \%) \\ & \text { NA: o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 25(83 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 5(17 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 12(80 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 3(20 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 14(93 \%) \\ & \text { M:1 }(7 \%) \\ & \text { NA: o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 14(93 \%) \\ & \text { M: } 1(7 \%) \\ & \text { NA: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F: } 22(88 \%) \\ & \text { M:3 (12\%) } \\ & \text { NA: } 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| No. of years of experience: <br> 1-<4 years <br> 2-4-5 years <br> 3-6-10 years <br> 4->10 years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 14 \text { (61\%) } \\ & \text { 2:3(13\%) } \\ & 3: 4(17 \%) \\ & 4: 2(9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 3(17 \%) \\ & 2: 5(28 \%) \\ & 3: 6(33 \%) \\ & 4: 4(22 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 2(15 \%) \\ & 2: 4(31 \%) \\ & 3: 1(8 \%) \\ & 4: 6(46 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 11(37 \%) \\ & \text { 2: } 2(6 \%) \\ & 3: 2(6 \%) \\ & 4: 15(50 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:0 } \\ & \text { 2: } 0 \\ & 3: 3(20 \%) \\ & 4: 12(80 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 0 \\ & \text { 2: } 1(7 \%) \\ & \text { 3: } 2(13 \%) \\ & \text { 4: } 12(80 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:3 (20\%) } \\ & \text { 2: } 2(13 \%) \\ & 3: 6(40 \%) \\ & 4: 4(27 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 1(4 \%) \\ & 2: 1(4 \%) \\ & 3: 3(12 \%) \\ & 4: 20(80 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Academic degree: <br> 1-- Professional Qualification <br> 2 - BSc/BA/BBA <br> 3-MSc/MA/MBA <br> 4-PhD/DBA | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 0 \\ & 2: 2(9 \%) \\ & 3: 9(39 \%) \\ & 4: 12(52 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:0 } \\ & \text { 2: } 1(6 \%) \\ & 3: 5(28 \%) \\ & 4: 12(66 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:2 (15\%) } \\ & \text { 2: o } \\ & \text { 3: } 2(15 \%) \\ & 4: 9(69 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 11(37 \%) \\ & 2: 1(3 \%) \\ & 3: 5(17 \%) \\ & 4: 13(43 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 0 \\ & \text { 2: } 0 \\ & \text { 3: } 0 \\ & \text { 4: } 15(100 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 1(7 \%) \\ & 2: 1(7 \%) \\ & 3: 2(13 \%) \\ & 4: 11(73 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1: } 4(27 \%) \\ & \text { 2: } 0 \\ & \text { 3: } 11(73 \%) \\ & \text { 4:0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 8(32 \%) \\ & 2: 1(4 \%) \\ & 3: 4(16 \%) \\ & 4: 10(40 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Position at HEI: <br> L - Lecturer <br> AP - Assistant Professor <br> SL - Senior Lecturer <br> AsP - Associate Professor <br> P - Professor <br> HOD - Head of Department <br> D - Dean of Faculty/Inst. <br> Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L: } 17(74 \%) \\ & \text { AP: o } \\ & \text { SL: } 3(14 \%) \\ & \text { AsP: o } \\ & \text { P: } 1(4 \%) \\ & \text { HOD: } 1(4 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 1(4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L: } 8(44 \%) \\ & \text { AP: } 1(5 \%) \\ & \text { SL: } 3(17 \%) \\ & \text { AsP: } 2(11 \%) \\ & \text { P: } 1(5 \%) \\ & \text { HOD: } 3(17 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | L: o <br> AP: 2 (15\%) <br> SL: 2 (15\%) <br> AsP: 8 (62\%) <br> P: o <br> HOD: 1 (8\%) <br> D: o | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L: } 2(7 \%) \\ & \text { AP: } 4(13 \%) \\ & \text { SL: } 14(47 \%) \\ & \text { AsP: } 8(27 \%) \\ & \text { P: } 1(3 \%) \\ & \text { HOD: } 1(3 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 0 \end{aligned}$ | L: o <br> AP: o <br> SL: o <br> AsP: 6 (40\%) <br> P: 3 (20\%) <br> HOD: 3 (20\%) <br> D: 3 (20\%) | L: o <br> AP: 2 (13\%) <br> SL: 3 (20\%) <br> AsP: 8 (53\%) <br> P: o <br> HOD: 1 (7\%) <br> D: 1 (7\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L: } 2(13 \%) \\ & \text { AP: } 4(27 \%) \\ & \text { SL: } 8(53 \%) \\ & \text { AsP: o } \\ & \text { P: o } \\ & \text { HOD: } 1(7 \%) \\ & \text { D: o } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L: } 5(20 \%) \\ & \text { AP: } 13(52 \%) \\ & \text { SL: } 0 \\ & \text { AsP: } 02(8 \%) \\ & \text { P: } 2(8 \%) \\ & \text { HOD: } 2(8 \%) \\ & \text { D: } 1(4 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

Table 11: IQA Indicators - Teachers

| IQA Indicator of Perceived | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UCAM } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=18) \end{aligned}$ | FinU ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | $\operatorname{RAE}(\mathrm{n}=30)$ | TSU ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{1 5}$ ) | BelSU ( $\mathrm{N}=15$ ) | NSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | ASPU ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quality of education | Mean: 3.73 <br> SD: . 757 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.35 <br> SD: . 370 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.69 <br> SD: . 512 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.56 <br> SD: . 649 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.75 <br> SD: . 231 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 4.22 <br> SD: . 667 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 4.02 <br> SD: . 224 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.18 <br> SD: . 672 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Institutional Commitment to quality of education, teaching, learning \& assessments | Mean: 3.8o <br> SD: . 659 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 4.25 <br> SD: . 314 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.44 <br> SD: . 463 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.58 <br> SD: . 719 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.47 <br> SD: . 046 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: . 655 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.47 <br> SD: . 447 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.01 <br> SD: . 701 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Involvement of academic staff in the IQA/quality management | Mean: 3.57 <br> SD: . 823 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.36 <br> SD: 348 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.40 <br> SD: . 616 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.29 <br> SD: . 674 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 4.04 <br> SD: . 083 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.71 <br> SD: . 817 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.72 <br> SD: . 291 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.98 <br> SD: . 681 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Leadership commitment | Mean: 3.87 <br> SD: . 815 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.42 <br> SD: 393 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.31 <br> SD: . 990 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.27 <br> SD: 1.023 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 5.00 <br> SD: o.oo <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.70 <br> SD: . 862 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: . 573 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.89 <br> SD: . 597 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| University's reputation | Mean: 3.65 <br> SD: . 714 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.36 <br> SD: . 376 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.97 <br> SD: . 691 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.60 <br> SD: 865 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.70 <br> SD: . 254 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: . 910 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: . 471 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.04 <br> SD: . 709 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Research focus of academic staff | Mean: 3.32 <br> SD: 1.09 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 4.28 <br> SD: . 461 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.62 <br> SD: . 650 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.77 <br> SD: . 817 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 5.00 <br> SD: o.oo <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.8o <br> SD: . 862 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.27 <br> SD: . 799 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 4.13 <br> SD: . 920 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Overall Average Mean of the above | Mean: 3.71 <br> SD: . 633 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.31 <br> SD: . 245 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.52 <br> SD: .46o <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.49 <br> SD: . 638 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.51 <br> SD: . 077 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly <br> agree | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: . 665 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.73 <br> SD: 304 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.04 <br> SD: . 598 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |

Table 12: Employers - Competencies Decisive in Hiring?

|  | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | UCAM n=32) | FinU ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 0}$ ) | RAE ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | TSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | BelSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | NSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | ASPU ( $\mathrm{n}=16$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The level of theoretical training in the specialty | Mean: 3.40 <br> SD: . 894 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 816 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: 707 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.47 <br> SD: . 640 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.81 <br> SD: .981 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Availability of professional and practical skills | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 548 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 4.30 <br> SD: . 949 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 4.14 <br> SD: . 573 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 632 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.94 <br> SD: . 854 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Level of computer literacy | Mean: 3.60 <br> SD: 1.140 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 667 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.14 <br> SD: . 854 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.13 <br> SD: . 640 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 632 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Existence of administrative knowledge, skills and abilities | Mean: 3.60 <br> SD: . 894 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.20 <br> SD: . 632 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.67 <br> SD: . 856 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.87 <br> SD: . 640 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.75 <br> SD: . 856 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Having selfmanagement skills | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 548 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.70 <br> SD: . 823 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.76 <br> SD: 1.044 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.07 <br> SD: . 594 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.81 <br> SD: . 750 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Self-learning and development skills | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 548 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: . 568 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.76 <br> SD: 1.044 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 4.13 <br> SD: . 516 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.75 <br> SD: 1.065 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Ability to work in a teams | Mean: 4.80 <br> SD: . 447 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 516 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: . 768 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.73 <br> SD: . 594 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.13 <br> SD: 885 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Responsibility | Mean: 4.80 <br> SD: . 447 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.20 <br> SD: . 789 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 4.24 <br> SD: . 700 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 507 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.94 <br> SD: . 854 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Sociability | Mean: 4.80 <br> SD: . 447 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 4.30 <br> SD: . 675 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.62 <br> SD: 1.024 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.73 <br> SD: 458 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.94 <br> SD: . 250 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |


| The ability to take responsibility | Mean: 5.00 <br> SD: o.oo <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: . 994 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.62 <br> SD: 1.117 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.47 <br> SD: . 640 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.88 <br> SD: . 957 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conscientiousness | Mean: 4.20 <br> SD: . 837 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.30 <br> SD: . 675 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.62 \\ & \text { SD: } 1.071 \\ & \text { Majority: } \\ & \text { Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.60 <br> SD: . 507 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.88 <br> SD: . 619 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Creativity, innovative thinking | Mean: 4.80 <br> SD: . 447 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 816 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.48 <br> SD: . 928 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.07 <br> SD: . 799 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 516 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Results oriented | Mean: 4.60 <br> SD: . 548 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 966 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 3.95 <br> SD: 1.071 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.60 <br> SD: . 507 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.06 <br> SD: . 680 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Determination, desire to work and prove themselves | Mean: 4.8o <br> SD: . 447 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.8o <br> SD: . 422 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.76 <br> SD: . 944 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.47 <br> SD: . 516 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.81 <br> SD: . 834 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Level of intercultural skill | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 548 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.80 \\ & \text { SD: . } 632 \\ & \text { Majority: } \\ & \text { Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.33 <br> SD: . 856 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.07 <br> SD: . 884 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.75 <br> SD: . 447 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Ability to apply critical thinking | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 894 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.80 \\ & \text { SD: .919 } \\ & \text { Majority: } \\ & \text { Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: . 768 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.8o <br> SD: 1.082 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.75 <br> SD: . 683 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Ability to multitask | Mean: 4.60 <br> SD: . 548 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: 738 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.76 \\ & \text { SD: . } 995 \\ & \text { Majority: } \\ & \text { Agree } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 926 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.94 <br> SD: .68o <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Readiness and ability for complex problem solving | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.30 <br> SD: . 823 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: . 768 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.33 <br> SD: . 724 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.94 <br> SD: .68o <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Level of written and oral communication | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 4.30 \\ & \text { SD: } .823 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.62 \\ & \text { SD: . } 865 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD. NA <br> SD: NA | $\text { Mean: } 4.40$ $\text { SD: . } 737$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.69 \\ & \text { SD: } 1.250 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

## With the support of the Erasmus+ Programme

 of the European Union|  | Majority: NA | Majority: NA | Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Majority: Agree | Majority: NA | Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Majority: NA | Majority: Agree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Mean | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 4.06 \\ & \text { SD: . } 823 \\ & \text { Majority: } \\ & \text { Agree } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.81 <br> SD: . 465 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4 -30 <br> SD: . 415 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.88 <br> SD: . 517 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |

Table 13: What Should Be Emphasized in the Teaching of Our Graduates?

|  | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | UCAM n=32) | FinU ( $\mathbf{n}=10$ ) | RAE ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | TSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | BelSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | NSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | ASPU ( $\mathrm{n}=16$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal literacy | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.40 <br> SD: . 843 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.33 <br> SD: . 796 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.94 <br> SD: . 680 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Implementation of social policy | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.30 <br> SD: . 675 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 2.86 <br> SD: . 910 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.50 <br> SD: . 632 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Computer and information technology | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.60 <br> SD: . 843 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.76 <br> SD: 1.044 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.63 <br> SD: . 885 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Human Resources | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.30 <br> SD: . 483 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 2.95 <br> SD: . 921 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.87 <br> SD: . 719 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Fundamentals of Public Service | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.20 <br> SD: . 632 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 2.95 <br> SD: . 669 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 730 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Economic analysis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.8o <br> SD: 1.033 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.43 <br> SD: . 926 <br> Majority: | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.56 <br> SD: . 629 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| State-building | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.8o <br> SD: . 919 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.14 <br> SD: . 854 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.31 <br> SD: . 602 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral |
| Office-work | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.40 <br> SD: . 699 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.29 <br> SD: 1.231 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 730 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Practical psychology | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.00 <br> SD: . 471 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.29 <br> SD: 1.056 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.56 <br> SD: . 814 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |


| Political governance | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.50 <br> SD: . 707 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 2.67 <br> SD: . 796 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.63 <br> SD: . 619 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organization of analytical work | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.50 <br> SD: . 972 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.67 <br> SD: . 966 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.75 <br> SD: . 577 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Public Relations (PR) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.20 <br> SD: . 789 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 2.95 <br> SD: . 805 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.81 <br> SD: . 544 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Professional Management | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.70 <br> SD: . 823 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.52 <br> SD: . 981 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.81 <br> SD: . 655 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Project management | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.8o <br> SD: . 789 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.86 <br> SD: . 910 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.75 <br> SD: . 683 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Accounting | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.70 \\ & \text { SD: } 1.160 \\ & \text { Majority: } \\ & \text { Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.14 <br> SD: . 964 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.88 <br> SD: . 719 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |
| Organization of scientific activities | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.30 <br> SD: 1.059 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.05 <br> SD: 1.071 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.38 <br> SD: . 719 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral |
| Overall Mean | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.47 <br> SD: . 435 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.24 <br> SD: . 375 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.71 <br> SD: . 465 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |

Table 14: What Does "Good Quality" in Higher Education Mean to You?

|  | WUAS ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) | UCAM ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | FinU ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | RAE ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | TSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | BelSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | NSU ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | ASPU ( $\mathrm{n}=16$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates who are motivated | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: . 568 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.14 <br> SD: . 854 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.25 <br> SD: . 683 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree |
| Graduates with first class honours or upper second degree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: 0738 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.71 <br> SD: . 845 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 4.06 \\ & \text { SD: . } 574 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ |
| A university with nice buildings \& infrastructure | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.40 <br> SD: . 843 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.43 <br> SD: . 811 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.38 <br> SD: . 719 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral |
| Staff who are knowledgeable and up-to-date | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.90 <br> SD: . 738 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.19 <br> SD: . 873 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.94 \\ & \text { SD: . } 680 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ |
| The cost of the fees charged | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.00 <br> SD: . 667 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.10 <br> SD: . 944 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.44 \\ & \text { SD: . } 964 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ |
| The curriculum on offer to students | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA |
| Graduates who are literate and numerate | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.70 <br> SD: . 675 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.71 <br> SD: . 902 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.94 \\ & \text { SD: } .854 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Graduates who are questioning | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.20 <br> SD: . 632 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.14 <br> SD: . 964 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.81 \\ & \text { SD: . } 911 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ |
| Good university facilities (e.g. library, IT, laboratories, etc) | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: . 738 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: .837 <br> Majority: Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.75 <br> SD: . 775 <br> Majority: Agree |
| The methods of teaching used | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.40 <br> SD: . 738 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: . 889 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 730 <br> Majority: Agree |


| Quality of feedback given to students by staff | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.20 <br> SD: . 789 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.81 <br> SD: . 928 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.00 <br> SD: . 632 <br> Majority: Agree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good links to industry | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 4.10 <br> SD: . 568 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.95 <br> SD: 865 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.87 <br> SD: .50o <br> Majority: Agree |
| Support facilities (e.g. career guidance) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.8o <br> SD: . 422 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 4.24 <br> SD: 831 <br> Majority: <br> Strongly agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 4.00 \\ & \text { SD: .516 } \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \end{aligned}$ |
| Extra activities offered to students (e.g. visits, extracurricular activities) | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.70 <br> SD: 483 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.48 <br> SD: 1.209 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.63 <br> SD: . 619 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Enthusiastic staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.80 \\ & \text { SD: } .632 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.67 \\ & \text { SD: } 1.317 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: } 3.81 \\ & \text { SD: } .834 \\ & \text { Majority: Agree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Externally accredited programmes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.40 <br> SD: . 699 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: 3.33 <br> SD: 1.238 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: 3.75 <br> SD: 775 <br> Majority: Agree |
| National and International Rankings | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.50 <br> SD: . 707 <br> Majority: Agree | Mean: 3.33 <br> SD: 1.155 <br> Majority: <br> Neutral | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.87 <br> SD: 1.025 <br> Majority: Agree |
| Overall Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA <br> SD: NA <br> Majority: NA | Mean: 3.83 <br> SD: . 318 <br> Majority: <br> Agree | Mean: 3.71 <br> SD: .56o <br> Majority: <br> Agree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean: NA } \\ & \text { SD: NA } \\ & \text { Majority: NA } \end{aligned}$ | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: NA SD: NA Majority: NA | Mean: 3.84 <br> SD: . 425 <br> Majority: <br> Agree |

Table 15: Ranking of Professional Competencies

|  | Internal and External Conditioning Factors (Internal and External) | Rank by all 5 employers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | level of theoretical and professional knowledge (technical/practical) | 2,2,4,1,3=12 |
|  | level of practical knowledge and skills (technical/practical) | 1,1,3,2,5=12 |
|  | ability to effectively represent the results of work (technical/practical) | 6,4,6,5,4=15 |
|  | awareness in related areas of the acquired specialty (technical/practical) | 5,6,2,4,7=24 |
|  | foreign language proficiency (technical/practical) | 7,7,5,6,1=26 |
|  | skills in special software products (technical/practical) | 4,5,7,7,6=29 |
|  | level of written and oral communication (technical/practical) | 3,3,1,3,2=12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{6} \\ & \stackrel{n}{\hat{N}} \end{aligned}$ | ability to work in team (soft) | 5,1,1,3,5=15 |
|  | focus on professional development (soft) | $7,6,7,4,6=30$ |
|  | readiness and ability for further learning (soft) | 3,2,6,1,3=15 |
|  | ability to develop new ideas (soft) | 4,5,1,2,2,=14 |
|  | ability to act strictly according to the rules and regulations of the team (soft) | 8,8,8,6,8=38 |
|  | level of intercultural skill (soft) | $6,7,4,8,1=24$ |
|  | ability to apply critical thinking (soft) | 1,4,5,7,7=24 |
|  | readiness and ability for complex problem solving (soft) | 2,3,3,5,4=17 |

Table 16: Answers to Open-response questions

| What does 'good quality' in higher education mean to you? | - Having modules that are relevant to immediate adoption within industry <br> - Having high grade lecturers to empower class learning process <br> - Grooming professionals for their next step in their career <br> - Prepare them with broad knowledge but teach them that there is still a lot to learn - it is a journey, not a destination <br> - Ready to work outside of education <br> - Eager, qualified, knowledgeable, motivated graduates <br> - Offer the curriculum at such a high level that you can make a direct step into the labour market or continue your studies |
| :---: | :---: |
| What do you think are the important determinants of service quality in higher education? | - Availability of good decent student support <br> - I am a bigger believer in attitude - this is hard to learn, but if someone wants to learn, people can often learn it <br> - Determination is key - sometimes it may take longer, but don't stop at first attempt <br> - Supporting students and preparing them for the working world outside academic world |
| How can service quality in education be improved? | - Service turn-around time <br> - A broader focus on learnings that come from failure, not all will be successful and the fact that this is et as the standard can be very stressful for people <br> - Making sure it's creative and practical too |
| What cost is incurred by employers of not providing quality education to students? | - Slow growth in business due to lack of competence <br> - This cost can be reduced by selecting the right professionals. A good professional has a mixed profile and skills and is not necessarily always the best in his/her field. The person needs to fit the organization. <br> - People thrive differently in different environments - it is important that that is a match and students should be prepared to find out where they will fit best. |
| What role leadership can play in imparting quality education? | - Lead by example <br> - Help students to explore where they will thrive and what their true passion is <br> - Inspiration <br> - Leadership can play a big role in imparting education |

